

Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**



I2

FILE: [Redacted] Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER  
(LIN-04-149-53027 relates)

Date: MAY 24 2005

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Travel Document Pursuant to Section 223 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1203.

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Acting Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of China, who seeks to obtain a travel document (reentry permit) under section 223 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1203. The Acting Director concluded that the applicant did not hold valid lawful permanent or conditional resident status, nor did she hold valid refugee status under section 207 of the Act, or valid asylee status under section 208 of the Act at the time the application was filed and denied the application accordingly. *See Acting Director's Decision* dated January 4, 2005.

On appeal the applicant states that she will be sending a brief and/or evidence to the AAO within 30 days. In addition the applicant states: "I wish to visit my mother and father in Asia. It is almost seven years since I have seen them and I miss them very much. It is very difficult for them to come here and so much easier for me to travel there. Please appeal my case."

The appeal was filed on January 28, 2005, and to this date approximately four months later no additional documentation has been provided to the AAO.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1) states in pertinent part:

(v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

In the present case the applicant has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. Accordingly, the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

A search of the electronic database of CIS reveals that the applicant has a pending Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status (Form I-485) with Citizenship and Naturalization Services (CIS). The applicant may be eligible for advance parole. Therefore the decision is without prejudice to the filing of a new Form I-131 for advance parole if the applicant completes the appropriate box on the application.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.