

identifying and deleted to
prevent clean unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



L 1

FILE:



Office: Nebraska Service Center

Date: **AUG 26 2005**

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Inadmissibility pursuant to Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for waiver of inadmissibility was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application upon determining that the applicant was attempting to file it in conjunction with a lawsuit commonly referred to as *Proyecto San Pablo*, which relates to legalization applications filed under section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act). The applicant had instead applied for special agricultural worker status under section 210 of the Act, and therefore was not eligible for consideration under section 245A of the Act or the *Proyecto* lawsuit.

On appeal, the applicant indicates his desire to obtain employment authorization. He seemingly indicates he believes he qualifies for lawful status under the *Proyecto* lawsuit, as well as under the special agricultural worker program.

The director's analysis of this situation was correct. The applicant is a former special agricultural worker applicant whose application for that status was denied. His appeal of that decision was dismissed. He has no standing to file a waiver application under the *Proyecto* lawsuit, which relates to a certain class of amnesty applicants, not special agricultural worker applicants.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.