



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

APPLICANT'S NAME
APPLICANT'S ADDRESS
APPLICANT'S CITY, STATE, ZIP
PUBLIC OFFICE

L 1

[Redacted]

FILE:

[Redacted]

Office: Nebraska Service Center

Date: JUN 16 2005

IN RE:

Applicant:

[Redacted]

APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned
to the office that decided and certified your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status (legalization) was approved by the Director, Northern Regional Processing Facility. However, the director later terminated the applicant's temporary resident status. An appeal of that decision was dismissed.

The Director, Nebraska Service Center then granted a motion to reopen that was filed by the applicant pursuant to a class action lawsuit entitled *Proyecto San Pablo v. INS*, No. Civ 89-456-TUC-WDB (D. Ariz.). The decision in that case allows an alien whose application was denied because he had been outside of the United States after January 1, 1982 under an order of deportation to have his application reopened. The Director, Nebraska Service Center has now denied the application, and certified his decision to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The decision will be affirmed.

The applicant was deported on November 16, 1985. Both directors noted that the applicant was outside of the United States under an order of deportation after January 1, 1982, and therefore did not reside continuously in the United States since such date.

Neither counsel nor the applicant has responded to the certified denial. Previously, counsel requested that the applicant be granted a waiver of his inadmissibility for having been deported. Counsel averred that approval of the waiver would cure the lack of continuous residence stemming from the deportation.

An applicant for temporary residence must establish entry into the United States before January 1, 1982, and continuous residence in the United States in an unlawful status since such date and through the date the application is filed. Section 245A(a)(2) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1255a(a)(2). An alien shall not be considered to have resided continuously in the United States, if, during any period for which continuous residence is required, the alien was outside of the United States under an order of deportation. Section 245A(g)(2)(B)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1255(g)(2)(b)(i).

As a result of the deportation, the applicant did not reside continuously in the United States for the requisite period. He is therefore statutorily ineligible for temporary residence on that basis.

Counsel's assertion that a lack of continuous residence in such circumstances may be waived is unpersuasive. Congress set forth, at section 245A(d)(2) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a(d)(2), a provision to waive certain *grounds of inadmissibility* under section 212(a) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a). Section 245A(g)(2)(B)(i) of the Act, concerning *continuous residence*, is a separate section unrelated to the waiver provisions. Congress provided no relief in the legalization program for failure to maintain continuous residence due to a departure under an order of deportation. Relief is provided in the Act for absences based on factors other than deportation, namely absences prolonged by emergencies and absences approved under the advance parole provisions. Clearly, with respect to maintenance of continuous residence, it was not congressional intent to provide relief for absences under an order of deportation. While the applicant's failure to maintain continuous residence, and his inadmissibility for having been deported and having returned without authorization, are both predicated on the deportation, a waiver is possible only for the inadmissibility under section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II).

The question has arisen as to why, if the above interpretation is correct, the law would allow for a waiver of inadmissibility in the case of a deported alien and yet provide no waiver for a lack of continuous residence, also based on a deportation. It is noted that not all aliens who were deported in the past failed to meet the continuous residence requirement. For example, an alien who was deported in 1981 and reentered the United States before January 1, 1982 would be inadmissible because of the deportation and yet would not be ineligible for legalization on the continuous residence issue.

Counsel points out that the district court in *Proyecto San Pablo v. INS*, 784 F.Supp 738, 747 (D. Ariz. 1991) concluded that a waiver would cover both the inadmissibility and the continuous residence issue. However, in *Proyecto San Pablo v. INS*, 189 F.3d 1130 (9th Cir. 1999) the court of appeals held that the district court lacked jurisdiction to compel INS to change its interpretation of the statute.

The July 31, 2001 letter submitted by counsel from the United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary is noted. The senators urged the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS, now Citizenship and Immigration Services, or CIS) to consider an approved waiver application to overcome both the ground of inadmissibility and the failure to maintain continuous residence. While we agree that the entire premise of the legalization program is ameliorative, and that the generous waiver provisions are as well, for the reasons stated above we cannot conclude that a waiver of a ground of inadmissibility impacts on the continuous residence requirement.

In summary, the applicant was out of the United States after January 1, 1982 under an order of deportation, and cannot be granted temporary residence for two reasons. First and foremost, he failed to maintain continuous residence, and there is no waiver available. Therefore, he is ineligible for temporary residence. Secondly, he is inadmissible under section 212(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II) of the Act as an alien who was deported and returned without permission. That ground of inadmissibility may be waived. The applicant filed a waiver application in an effort to overcome such inadmissibility. That waiver application was denied by the director, and the decision was affirmed by the AAO in a separate decision.

The applicant was deported on November 16, 1985, and therefore did not maintain continuous residence as required by section 245A(a)(2) of the Act. He remains ineligible for temporary residence.

ORDER: The director's decision is affirmed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.