

identifying data deleted to
prevent clear and unambiguous
invasion of personal privacy




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



LL

FILE:



Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER

Date: **SEP 22 2006**

XLT-88-126-1095

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 210 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1160

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the service center that processed your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish the performance of at least 90 man-days of qualifying agricultural employment during the eligibility period. This decision was based on adverse information regarding the applicant's claim of employment for [REDACTED]

On appeal, the applicant requested information about becoming a temporary resident.

In order to be eligible for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker, an alien must have engaged in qualifying agricultural employment for at least 90 man-days during the twelve-month period ending May 1, 1986, and must be otherwise admissible under section 210(c) of the Act and not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 210.3(d). 8 C.F.R. § 210.3(a). An applicant has the burden of proving the above by a preponderance of the evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 210.3(b).

On the Form I-700 application, the applicant claimed 144 man-days employment for [REDACTED] in Haines, Florida from May 1985 to October 1985. The applicant did not submit any supporting documentation.

In attempting to verify the applicant's claimed employment, the Immigration and Naturalization Service, or the Service (now, Citizenship and Immigration Services, or CIS) acquired information which contradicted the applicant's claim. Specifically, the Service contacted [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated that she had never seen the applicant, had never used his services, and that she and her husband were not operating as farm labor contractors during the twelve-month period ending May 1, 1986. Also, [REDACTED], the preparer of this application, was implicated in fraud.

On September 14, 1989, September 17, 1993, and again on August 25, 2005, the applicant was advised in writing of the adverse information obtained by the Service, and of the Service's intent to deny the application. The applicant was granted thirty days to respond. The record contains no response from the applicant to the Service's notices.

The director concluded the applicant had not overcome the derogatory evidence, and denied the application on October 20, 2005. On appeal, the applicant inquired about becoming a temporary resident.

Generally, the inference to be drawn from the documentation provided shall depend on the extent of the documentation, its credibility, and amenability to verification. 8 C.F.R. § 210.3(b)(1). Evidence submitted by an applicant will have its sufficiency judged according to its probative value and credibility. 8 C.F.R. § 210.3(b)(2). Personal testimony by an applicant which is not corroborated, in whole or in part, by other credible evidence (including testimony by persons other than the applicant) will not serve to meet an applicant's burden of proof. 8 C.F.R. § 210.3(b)(3).

There is no mandatory type of documentation required with respect to the applicant's burden of proof; however, the documentation must be credible. All documents submitted must have an appearance of reliability, i.e., if the documents appear to have been forged, or otherwise deceitfully created or obtained, the documents are not credible. *United Farm Workers (AFL-CIO) v. INS, Civil No. S-87-1064-JFM (E.D. Cal.)*.

The Maganas have disavowed the applicant's claim for which he submitted no supporting documentation. The applicant has failed to overcome this adverse evidence, which directly contradicts his employment claim. Therefore, the documentary evidence submitted by the applicant cannot be considered as having any probative value or evidentiary weight.

The applicant has, therefore, failed to credibly establish the performance of at least 90 man-days of qualifying agricultural employment during the twelve-month statutory period ending May 1, 1986. Consequently, the applicant is ineligible for adjustment to temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.