




U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services


**PUBLIC COPY**

Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

LI



FILE:



Office: LOS ANGELES

Date: AUG 13 2007

MSC-06-067-11153

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Resident Status under Section 245A of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for temporary resident status was denied by the Director, Los Angeles District Office, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant stated under oath during his interview with an immigration officer that he first entered the United States in March 1988.

On appeal, the applicant stated that the reasons for his appeal would be submitted in a written brief within 30 calendar days. More than one year has passed since the appeal was submitted. Therefore, the record will be considered complete.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he addressed the grounds stated for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

Although a Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative (Form G-28) has been submitted, the individual is not authorized under 8 C.F.R. § 292.1 or § 292.2 to represent the applicant. See <http://www.usdoj.gov/eoir/statspub/raroster.htm>. Therefore, this decision will be furnished to the applicant only.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.