

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



41

FILE: [Redacted]
MSC-05-306-12438

Office: CLEVELAND

Date: DEC 03 2007

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Resident Status under Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status was denied by the Director, Cleveland District Office. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because he found the applicant failed to establish she had entered the United States prior to January 1, 1982. Specifically, the applicant had indicated in her interview with an immigration officer that she first entered the United States in 1997.

On appeal, the applicant indicated she applied for temporary resident status with the assistance of an organization that has been sued for defrauding immigrants. She also stated that she would be an excellent candidate for naturalization. Lastly, she attached evidence of the University degrees she received in the United States, letters of reference, and information regarding the lawsuit filed against the individual who assisted her with her application. The applicant provided no additional evidence or explanation to overcome the reasons for denial of her application or indicate she meets the requirements for temporary resident status. Specifically, the applicant provided no evidence to indicate she actually entered the United States prior to 1997, and she provided no explanation of her statements to the immigration officer.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has she addressed the grounds stated for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.