



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**  
identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



L1

FILE: [REDACTED]  
XHP 88 513 6005

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: FEB 26 2007

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, California Service Center, denied the application for temporary resident status. On appeal, the Legalization Appeals Unit remanded the case for further action. The director issued a new decision denying the application on January 31, 2005. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish his entry into the United States prior to January 1, 1982 and his continuous residence in this country since such date and through the date his application was filed.

On the Form I-694, Notice of Appeal, the applicant requested a copy of the administrative record and stated that a "full statement of the reasons for this appeal will be submitted after reviewing the applicant's Record of Proceedings." On March 2, 1994, the director sent the applicant a copy of the applicant's record. To date, the AAO has received nothing further from the applicant addressing the grounds for denial cited in the director's January 31, 2005 decision.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

In his decision, the director accurately set forth valid grounds for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant does not address the grounds for denial or submit additional evidence. Consequently, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.