

PUBLIC COPY



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

AI

FILE: [REDACTED]
XTO 88 660 1046

Office: California Service Center

Date: JUN 14 2007

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 210 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1160

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-Represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker was denied by the Director, Western Service Center. The Chief of the Legalization Appeals Unit, now the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO), subsequently remanded the case for compliance with the applicant's request for a copy of the record of proceeding. The matter is now before the AAO on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish the performance of at least 90 man-days of qualifying agricultural employment during the eligibility period. This decision was based on adverse information relating to the applicant's claim of employment for

On appeal, the applicant requested a copy of the record of proceeding and indicated that a brief and/or additional evidence would be submitted within 30 days of receipt of a copy of the record of proceeding. A copy of the record of the record of proceeding was mailed to the applicant on June 17, 1998. To date, the applicant has not submitted a brief or any additional evidence in support of the appeal.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he addressed the grounds stated for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.