

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

PUBLIC COPY

41

[REDACTED]

FILE: [REDACTED]
MSC-06-095-14348

Office: DETROIT, MI

Date: **NOV 01 2007**

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.


Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for Temporary Resident Status was denied by the Acting Director, Detroit, Michigan District Office, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The acting director denied the application because she found the evidence submitted with the application was insufficient to establish eligibility for Temporary Resident Status pursuant to the terms of the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements. Specifically, the director stated that the applicant failed to provide evidence that he entered the United States prior to January 1, 1982 and then continuously resided in the United States since that time and for the duration of the requisite period. The director found that the applicant did not meet his burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that he resided continuously in the United States for the duration of the requisite period as applicants for Temporary Resident Status are required to do pursuant to the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(d)(5). Therefore, she denied his application.

On appeal, the applicant submits a Form I-694 Notice of Appeal of Decision on which he states that he first entered the United States in 1997 and has paid taxes since that time. He goes on to say that he has a child who is a United States Citizen. He asserts that he is a law abiding citizen who is the sole provider for his family and he would like to continue to work legally in the United States. The applicant provided no additional evidence or explanation to overcome the reasons for denial of his application.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he addressed the grounds stated for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.