



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

L1



FILE: [REDACTED]
MSC-06-048-12342

Office: LOS ANGELES

Date: NOV 14 2007

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status was denied by the Director, Los Angeles District Office, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because she found the applicant failed to meet her burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence that she resided in the United States for the requisite periods.

On appeal, the applicant stated that she was very nervous in her interview with the immigration officer and misunderstood many questions from the interpreter. The applicant stated that she has been in the United States since June 1981 and the only time she traveled outside the United States was in 1987 because her parents were sick. Although she was not able to answer correctly during the interview, she can provide evidence of her residence since 1981. The applicant also attached copies of documentation that she had already submitted or that were not relevant to the question of whether she resided in the United States during the requisite period. The applicant attempted to explain her answers during the interview with an immigration officer. However, the director made no reference in her decision to any adverse information obtained during the interview. The applicant provided no additional relevant evidence or explanation to overcome the reasons for denial of her application.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has she addressed the grounds stated for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.