

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**



L1

FILE:



Office: DETROIT, MI

Date:

OCT 23 2007

MSC-06-089-11560

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for Temporary Resident Status was denied by the Director of the Detroit, Michigan District Office and that decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because she found the evidence submitted with the application was insufficient to establish eligibility for Temporary Resident Status pursuant to the terms of the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements. The director of the National Benefits Center stated in his Notice of Intent to Deny (NOID) that the applicant failed to submit evidence apart from her own testimony proving that she had maintained continuous residence in the United States during the requisite period, was continuously physically present in the United States from November 6, 1986 and then for the duration of the requisite period or that she was admissible as an immigrant. The director granted the applicant thirty (30) days within which to submit additional evidence in support of her application. The director of the Detroit District Office stated in her Notice of Decision that the applicant did not submit evidence that satisfied her burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that she was eligible to adjust to Temporary Resident Status pursuant to the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(d)(5) and therefore she denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant submits a Form I-694 on which she states that she entered the United States before January of 1982 and thereafter resided continuously in an unlawful status. She goes on to say that she has previously provided the evidence that she had in support of her application. The applicant provided no additional evidence or explanation to overcome the reasons for denial of her application.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has she addressed the grounds stated for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.