

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



LI

FILE: [REDACTED]
MSC 05 237 14916

Office: Hartford

Date: SEP 27 2007

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. [REDACTED] E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. [REDACTED] C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004, (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements) was denied by the District Director, Boston, Massachusetts, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The director determined that the applicant had not demonstrated that she had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status since before January 1, 1982 through the date that she attempted to file a Form I-687, Application for Status as a Temporary Resident, with the Immigration and Naturalization Service or the Service (now Citizenship and Immigration Services or CIS) in the original legalization application period between May 5, 1987 to May 4, 1988. Therefore, the district director concluded that the applicant was not eligible to adjust to temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements and denied the application.

An adverse decision on an application for temporary resident status may be appealed to the AAO. Any appeal with the required fee shall be filed with the Service Center within thirty (30) days after service of the notice of decision in accordance with the procedures of 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a). An appeal received after the 30-day period has tolled will not be accepted. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(p). Whenever a person has the right or is required to do some act within a prescribed period after the service of notice upon him and the notice is served by mail, three days shall be added to the prescribed period. Service by mail is complete upon mailing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The district director issued the notice of decision on May 23, 2006, and mailed a copy of the notice to the applicant at her address of record. The record shows that the notice was not returned by the United States Postal Service as either unclaimed or undeliverable.

On appeal, the applicant claims that prior to the date that the notice of decision was issued on May 23, 2006 she informed CIS that she had moved to a new address. The applicant asserts that the notice of decision was mailed to her old address and was not forwarded to her at her new address until well after the thirty-day period to file the appeal in a timely manner had expired. However, the record contains no evidence to demonstrate that the applicant informed CIS of any change in her address of record prior to the receipt of her appeal on August 1, 2006.

The Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal, was filed on August 1, 2006 seventy days after the notice of decision had been issued. Therefore, the appeal was untimely filed and must be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.