



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY



61

FILE: [Redacted]
MSC-05-251-14756

Office: NEW YORK

Date:

APR 21 20

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004, was denied by the District Director, New York. That decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application, *inter alia*, because the applicant did not establish that she continuously resided in the United States for the duration of the requisite period.

On appeal, the applicant submitted a copy of an affiant's marriage certificate to prove the affiant was in the United States in 1981 and a letter from a physician stating that the physician has been treating the applicant from 1986.¹ However, the applicant failed to specifically address the director's analysis of her evidence, and did not furnish any additional evidence pertaining to that analysis.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals that the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not specifically addressed the basis for denial, nor has she provided additional evidence that would address the basis for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.

¹ The AAO notes that the applicant has already submitted in response to the Notice of Intent to Deny a letter from the same physician to the same effect. The director acknowledged the letter in her decision and noted that no medical records substantiating the physician's statement was provided.