



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

L1

PUBLIC COPY
Identifying data deleted to
prevent disclosure of unarranted
invasion of personal privacy

[REDACTED]

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: NEW YORK Date: AUG 05 2008
MSC 06 084 15120

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:
[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:
This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or
rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case
pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If
your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

Michael T. Kelly
Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements), was denied by the District Director, New York. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant did not establish that he continuously resided in the United States for the duration of the requisite period.

On appeal, the applicant claims that he is eligible for legalization. The applicant does not submit additional documentation. The applicant fails to specifically address the director's analysis of the evidence regarding his continuous residence in the United States for the requisite time period on appeal. The AAO is unable to identify a basis for the appeal.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals that the director set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence associated with this matter. Nor has he specifically addressed the basis for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.