




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY
identifying data deleted to
prevent disclosure of unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



LI

FILE:



Office: LOS ANGELES

Date: **AUG 05 2008**

MSC 05 250 15907

IN RE: Applicant:



APPLICATION:


Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.


Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements), was denied by the District Director, Los Angeles, California. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant did not establish that he continuously resided in the United States for the duration of the requisite period. The director observed that the applicant had not submitted any supporting evidence of his residence in the United States from prior to January 1, 1982 to 1984. The director further determined that specific documentation submitted by the applicant to establish his residence from 1984 to 1990 was deficient and not probative in this matter.

On appeal, the applicant states that he provided Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) with all the pertinent information he had regarding his residence in the United States. The applicant fails to specifically address the director's analysis of the evidence regarding his entry into the United States prior to January 1, 1982 or the director's analysis of the deficient documentation submitted to establish his continuous residence in the United States for the requisite time period. Thus, the AAO is unable to identify a basis for the appeal.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals that the director set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence associated with this matter. Nor has he specifically addressed the basis for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.