



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

41

FILE:

MSC-05-238-10336

Office: LOS ANGELES

Date:

AUG 18 2008

IN RE:

Applicant:

APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements), was denied by the District Director, Los Angeles. That decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant filed a Form I-687 Application for Status as a Temporary Resident and supplement on May 26, 2005. The applicant was interviewed by an immigration officer on January 10, 2006. Following the interview, the immigration officer requested additional evidence of the applicant's residence in the United States during the requisite period. The applicant did not submit additional evidence.

On January 8, 2007 the director issued a Notice of Decision in which she denied the application because the applicant failed to demonstrate that he had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status for the duration of the requisite period.

On appeal, the applicant states that he entered the United States prior to January 1, 1982 and has resided continuously in the United States since that date. The applicant also states that he "applied through Amnistia Tardia on 1997" in Los Angeles. The applicant asks what documents he needs to send as proof of his continuous unlawful residence during the requisite period. The applicant does not cite any legal or factual errors in the director's decision.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he addressed the grounds stated for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.