

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



4

FILE: [REDACTED]
MSC-06-101-28859

Office: NEW YORK Date:

AUG 22 2008

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Records Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements) was denied by the Director, New York District Office, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The director denied the application because the applicant was found to have abandoned the application. Specifically, the applicant failed to appear for a scheduled interview or provide a valid reason for his nonappearance.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15), a denial due to abandonment may not be appealed. Since the denial in this case was based on the abandonment of the application, it may not be appealed. Therefore, the appeal will be rejected.

It is noted that, pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(q), the director may *sua sponte* reopen any adverse decision. Additionally, the director may certify any such decision to the AAO when the case involves an unusually complex or novel question of law or fact. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(r).

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.