



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
disclosure of personal privacy
PUBLIC COPY

L1



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: NATIONAL BENEFITS CENTER Date: DEC 22 2008
MSC 05 313 13123

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

John F. Grissom, Acting Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements), was denied by the Director, National Benefits Center. That decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish her continuous unlawful residence in the United States for the duration of the requisite period.

On appeal, the applicant states that the Form I-687 was prepared by an attorney on her behalf whom she never personally met. The applicant states that she thought the filing was for an amnesty program, and that she is not entitled to the immigration benefit sought in these proceedings because she was not in the United States prior to January 1, 1982.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals that the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. The applicant has presented no evidence in support of her application. Nor did the applicant submit additional evidence on appeal. The applicant admitted in response to the director's Notice Of Intent To Deny (NOID), and on appeal, that she was not in the United States prior to January 1, 1982, and that she is not entitled to the immigration benefit sought. The applicant sets forth no basis for an appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.