

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

41

FILE:

MSC-05-194-11206

Office: DETROIT, MI Date:

**JAN 02 2008**

IN RE:

Applicant:

APPLICATION:


Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for Temporary Resident Status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements), was denied by the District Director, Detroit. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because she found the evidence submitted with the application was insufficient to establish eligibility for Temporary Resident Status pursuant to the terms of the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements. Specifically, in her Notice of Intent to Deny (NOID), the director noted that at the time of his interview with a Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) officer on February 16, 2006, the applicant stated that he first entered the United States legally in March 1982. Therefore, the director determined that the applicant had not established that he first entered the United States on a date before January 1, 1982 as applicant's for adjustment of status to that of a Temporary Resident must do pursuant to the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(b)(1). The director granted the applicant thirty (30) days within which to submit additional evidence in support of his application. As the applicant did not submit additional evidence in support of his application in response to the director's NOID, he failed to overcome her reasons for denial. Therefore, the director denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant states that though he has tried to do so, it is very difficult to obtain additional evidence in support of his application. He asserts that he does not have contemporaneous evidence in support of his application because he was very young when he first entered the United States. The applicant provided no additional evidence or explanation to overcome the reasons for denial of his application.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he addressed the grounds stated for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.