


identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

L1

PUBLIC COPY



FILE: [REDACTED]
MSC-05-232-13600

Office: BOSTON

Date: JAN 10 2008

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status was denied by the Director, Boston District Office, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because he found the applicant was unable to establish that he resided continuously in the United States in an unlawful status since before January 1, 1982 until he filed for temporary resident status.

On appeal, the applicant stated that everything he stated in his interview with the immigration officer was the truth. Specifically, the applicant reiterated that he first entered the United States in 1981 for a few days and then left the United States. The applicant came to the United States again on January 5, 2002 on a B-2 visa. The applicant also stated that he explained in his interview that he had not understood the temporary resident program properly until the day he was interviewed.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he addressed the grounds stated for denial. Specifically, the applicant did not indicate that he actually meets the residency requirements for temporary resident status. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.