



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

Li



FILE: MSC-05-194-12852

Office: LOS ANGELES

Date: JUL 28 2008

IN RE: Applicant:




APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.


Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status was denied by the Director, Los Angeles District Office, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because she found the evidence submitted with the application was insufficient to establish eligibility for Temporary Resident Status pursuant to the terms of the CSS/Newman settlement agreements. Specifically, the director noted that the applicant had submitted several affidavits in support of her claim of continuous residency in the United States for the duration of the requisite period. The director noted several inconsistencies in these affidavits and determined that the evidence submitted did not establish, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the applicant had resided continuously in the United States since a time prior to January 1, 1982 throughout the requisite period.

Noting that the affidavits submitted are neither credible nor amenable to verification and citing the paucity of evidence in the record, the director denied the application on August 12, 2006.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that she "has proven [her] presence in the United States since 1981 and [she] has now submitted all the necessary documents." In support of her appeal, the applicant submitted additional copies of the affidavits which were originally submitted in response to the Form I-72 request for evidence of residence in the United States for the years 1981 until 1988. She did not submit any additional evidence or information in support of her application.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has she addressed the grounds stated for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.