



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

**PUBLIC COPY**

L1

[REDACTED]

FILE: [REDACTED]  
MSC 05 347 12547

Office: MASSACHUSETTS

Date: **MAY 02 2008**

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

*for Michael T. Kelly*  
Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements), was denied by the District Director, Massachusetts. That decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant did not establish that he continuously resided in the United States for the duration of the requisite period.

On appeal, the applicant asserted that the director failed to give appropriate consideration to the evidence the applicant had submitted. In the section of the Form I-694 (Notice of Appeal of Decision) for summarizing the reason for the appeal, the applicant states:

I have been in the U.S.A. since prior to 1/1/1982 and due to the length of time it has been difficult to get documentation showing my stay during the decade of the 80s.

I submitted affidavits of people who knew me.

However, the applicant failed to specifically address the director's analysis of his evidence, and did not furnish any additional evidence. The Form I-694 indicated that the applicant would submit a brief within 30 calendar days of the filing of the appeal. To date, no brief or additional evidence has been received. The record is, therefore, deemed complete and ready for adjudication.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals that the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. **On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has he specifically addressed the basis for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.**

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.