

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

L1

FILE:

MSC-08-156-50009

Office: LOS ANGELES

Date: **OCT 15 2008**

IN RE:

Applicant:

APPLICATION:

Application for Adjustment From Temporary to Permanent Resident status under Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted.


Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for adjustment from temporary to permanent resident status was denied by the Director, Los Angeles. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant submitted a Form I-698, Application to Adjust Status from Temporary to Permanent Resident under Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act (Act), and a Form I-687 Supplement, CSS/Newman Class Membership Worksheet. The director determined that the applicant was statutorily ineligible for temporary resident status because of the applicant's numerous misdemeanor convictions between 1988 and 1997. Thus, the director denied the application, finding that the applicant had not met his burden of proof and was, therefore, not eligible to adjust to temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements.

The applicant represents himself on appeal. The Notice of Appeal (Form I-694) is blank. The applicant signed the Form I-694 and checked the box stating that he would submit a supporting brief within thirty days. To date, no further evidence or statement has been submitted by the applicant. The applicant does not specifically address the director's analysis of the evidence, nor does he identify any error in the final decision of the district director.

Federal regulatory provisions governing an appeal from a legalization decision by the district director state, in pertinent part, that an appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal or is patently frivolous will be summarily dismissed. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv). (2007).

A review of the decision reveals that the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not specifically addressed the basis for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.