

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

L1

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: LOS ANGELES DATE: **OCT 15 2008**  
XHP 88 502 04048

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Resident Status under Section 245A of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office on your appeal. You no longer have a case pending before this office. If your appeal was sustained or the matter was remanded for further action, your file has been returned to the office that originally decided your case, and you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, your file has been sent to the National Benefits Center. You are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Los Angeles, terminated approval of the applicant's temporary resident status. That decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director terminated approval of the application because the applicant did not establish that he continuously resided in the United States for the duration of the requisite period. More specifically, the director noted inconsistencies in the applicant's assertions and his evidence and found that they rendered the evidence insufficiently credible to support the applicant's claim of continuous residence during the requisite period.

On appeal the applicant submitted a rambling statement that did not address the basis for the decision of termination. The applicant failed, therefore, to specifically address the director's analysis of the evidence. The applicant also furnished no additional evidence.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals that the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for termination of approval of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not addressed the grounds stated for termination, nor has he presented additional evidence. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.