


identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**



41

FILE:



Office: LOS ANGELES

Date:

**SEP 18 2008**

MSC-06-098-15243

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of  
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Application for Class Membership under *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al.*, CIV. NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, or *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al.*, CIV. NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004, (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements) was denied and the Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to the terms of the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements was administratively closed by the District Director, Los Angeles. This matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant submitted a Form I-687, Application for Status as a Temporary Resident under Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act (Act), and a Form I-687 Supplement, CSS/Newman Class Membership Worksheet. The director denied the applicant's Class Membership Application, finding that she failed to establish that she meets the class definition. Pursuant to the denial of the applicant's Class Membership Application, the director administratively closed her Form I-687 application.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that she tried to apply for legalization initially with her husband. She was told she needed many documents to prove her continuous residence in the United States, and she decided that she was not qualified for legalization. The applicant states that she will send copies of all the documents, if she can find them. She also provides copies of supporting documentation.

Under the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements, if the director finds that an applicant is ineligible for class membership, the director must first issue a notice of intent to deny that explains any perceived deficiency in the applicant's class member application and provide the applicant 30 days to submit additional written evidence or information to remedy the perceived deficiency. CSS Settlement Agreement paragraph 7 at page 4; Newman Settlement Agreement paragraph 7 at page 7. Once the applicant has had an opportunity to respond to any such notice, if the applicant has not overcome the director's finding, then the director must issue a written decision to deny an application for class membership to both counsel and the applicant with a copy to class counsel. CSS Settlement Agreement paragraph 8 at page 5; Newman Settlement Agreement paragraph 8 at page 7. The notice shall explain the reason for denial of the application, and notify the applicant of his or her right to seek review of such denial by a special master. *Id.*

On December 2, 2006, the director issued a Notice of Intent to Deny Class Membership (NOID) to the applicant. The director determined that the applicant is not eligible for CSS/Newman class membership. The applicant was afforded 30 days to respond to the notice. The applicant responded to the NOID with a written statement and copies of supporting documentation. On January 19, 2007, the director issued a Notice of Denial of Class Membership to the applicant. In denying the applicant's Class Membership Application, the director concluded that the applicant failed to establish that she meets the class definition. The director provided instructions for the applicant to appeal the denial to a Special Master appointed under the terms of the CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(p), the AAO has jurisdiction over the denial of a Application for Temporary Resident Status under section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act. Here, the applicant's Class Membership Application was denied and her Application for Temporary Resident Status was administratively closed. The CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements stipulate that an applicant should seek review of the denial of her Class Membership Application by a Special Master. The director accordingly provided the applicant with instructions to appeal the denial of her Class Membership Application to a Special Master. Therefore, the AAO is without authority to review the denial of the Class Membership Application, and the appeal must be rejected.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.