

identifying data deleted to
prevent disclosure of information
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

L1



FILE:



Office: LOS ANGELES

Date SEP 24 2008

MSC 06 328 12353

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The District Director, Los Angeles, terminated approval of the application for temporary resident status that was filed on Form I-698, Application to Adjust Status from Temporary to Permanent Resident. That decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director terminated approval of the application finding that, because of various discrepancies between his assertions and the evidence, the applicant's evidence was insufficient to establish that he continuously resided in the United States for the duration of the requisite period.

On his Form I-694 appeal the applicant checked a box to indicate that he would submit a brief within 30 days. The body of the appeal reads, in its entirety,

I do qualify, and I feel I am not being considered, I will submit more info to help my case in 30 days.

No further information, argument, or documentation was submitted.

The applicant failed to specifically address the director's analysis of the evidence, and did not furnish any additional evidence. As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals that the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for termination. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence or specifically addressed the basis for termination. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.