

L2

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Citizenship and Immigration Services

Identifying data deleted to
prevent unauthorized disclosure
invasion of personal privacy

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
CIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
425 I Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20536



DEC 6 2010

FILE

Office: National Benefits Center

Date:

IN RE: Applicant:

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

PUBLIC COPY

INSTRUCTIONS: Attached is the decision rendered on your appeal. The file has been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, Missouri Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director concluded the applicant had not established that he had applied for class membership in any of the requisite legalization class-action lawsuits prior to October 1, 2000 and, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant states that he has submitted documentation establishing prima facie evidence that he had requested class membership. According to the applicant, he has not received any specifics on why he is being denied or what part of his documentation is not acceptable. The applicant requests that his application be given further consideration.

An applicant for permanent resident status under section 1104 of the LIFE Act must establish that before October 1, 2000, he or she filed a written claim with the Attorney General for class membership in the following legalization class-action lawsuits: *Catholic Social Services, Inc. v. Meese*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993), *League of United Latin American Citizens v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993), or *Zambrano v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Zambrano*, 509 U.S. 918 (1993). See 8 C.F.R. § 245a.10.

With his LIFE Act application and in response to the notice of intent to deny the applicant submitted documentation relating to his prior application for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker under section 210 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). However, the fact that an alien has previously filed a special agricultural worker application under section 210 of the INA, cannot be equated to having filed a written claim for class membership in any of the requisite legalization class-action lawsuits.

The applicant timely filed an application for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker under section 210 of the INA on February 24, 1988, and this application was subsequently denied on December 27, 1991. The applicant appealed the denial of his application and this appeal was dismissed by the AAO on March 10, 1999. Section 1104 of the LIFE Act contains no provision allowing for the reopening and reconsideration of a timely filed and previously denied application for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker under section 210 of the INA.

On appeal, the applicant claims that he provided information showing his request for classification but has not been given any



specifics as to why his application was denied. Contrary to the applicant's claim, there is nothing in the record to indicate that he filed an actual claim for class membership. Furthermore, he was sent, and apparently received, a Notice of Decision, which described in detail why the application was being denied. The center director pointed out that the applicant failed to provide any evidence that he had filed an actual claim for class membership. The director also stated that a review of all relevant records failed to disclose any indication of the applicant having made a written claim for class membership.

Given his failure to establish that he filed a written claim for class membership, the applicant is ineligible for permanent residence under section 1104 of the LIFE Act.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.