

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Citizenship and Immigration Services

**identifying data deleted to
prevent disclosure of unawarded
invasion of personal privacy**

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
CIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
425 I Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20536




File: 

Office: National Benefits Center

Date:

OCT 10 2003

IN RE: Applicant: 

Application: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), *amended by* LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Attached is the decision rendered on your appeal. The file has been returned to the Service Center that processed your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, the Service Center will contact you. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.



Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, Missouri Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director concluded the applicant had not established that he had applied for class membership in any of the requisite legalization class-action lawsuits prior to October 1, 2000 and, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant states that he falls under "the 245A category."

An applicant for permanent resident status under section 1104 of the LIFE Act must establish that before October 1, 2000, he or she filed a written claim with the Attorney General in any of the following legalization class-action lawsuits: *Catholic Social Services, Inc. v. Meese*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993), *League of United Latin American Citizens v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993), or *Zambrano v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Zambrano*, 509 U.S. 918 (1993).

The applicant neither claimed nor documented that he applied for class membership. While the applicant indicates that he had previously submitted a legalization application under section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), he had in fact, timely submitted an application for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker in section 210 of the INA on April 28, 1988. This application was granted in error on July 13, 1989, and the applicant's temporary resident status was subsequently terminated on March 4, 1992. The applicant appealed the termination of his temporary resident status and this appeal was dismissed by the AAO on June 17, 1997. Section 1104 of the LIFE Act contains no provision allowing for the reopening and reconsideration of a timely filed application for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker under section 210 of the INA. Given his failure to even claim, much less document, that he filed a written claim for class membership, the applicant is ineligible for permanent residence under section 1104 of the LIFE Act.

It is noted that an applicant for permanent resident status under section 1104 of the LIFE Act must establish entry into the United States before January 1, 1982 and continuous residence in the United States in an unlawful status since such date and through May 4, 1988. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.11(b). On the Form G-325A, Record of Biographic Information, that was submitted with the LIFE Act application, the applicant specifically acknowledged that he began residing in the United States in January 1984, after having

previously resided in Mexico since his birth on June 26, 1948. Accordingly, the applicant is ineligible for permanent residence on this basis as well.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.