

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A-3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



DEC 30 2004

FILE:


Office: LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Date:

IN RE: Applicant:

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Interim District Director, Los Angeles, California, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

The Interim District Director concluded the applicant had not established that he resided in the United States in a continuous unlawful status from before January 1, 1982, through May 4, 1988, as required by section 1104(c)(2)(B) of the LIFE Act. Therefore, the Interim District Director concluded the applicant was ineligible for permanent resident status under the LIFE Act and denied the application accordingly. *See Interim District Director's Decision* dated July 3, 2003.

On appeal, counsel states that the Immigration Service (now Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS)) erred in denying the application and that the Service rendered an unsupportable decision, and abused its discretion in holding that the applicant failed to establish files/evidence. In addition counsel states that he will need 60 days to submit a brief an/or evidence to the AAO. The appeal was filed on September 3, 2003, and as of this date, more than one year later, no additional documentation has been received by the AAO.

An affected party filing from within the United States has 30 days from the date of an adverse decision to file an appeal. An appeal received after the 30-day period has tolled will not be accepted. The 30-day period for submitting an appeal begins 3 days after the Notice of Decision is mailed. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.20(b) (1)

If an applicant's last known address of record was outside the United States, and the Notice of Decision was mailed to that foreign address, the appeal must be received by the Service within 60 calendar days after service of the Notice of Decision. An appeal received after the 60 day period has tolled will not be accepted. The 60-day period for submitting an appeal begins 3 days after the Notice of Decision is mailed. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.20(b) (2).

The record reflects that the Interim District Director sent his decision of July 3, 2003, to the applicant and to counsel at their addresses of record. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) received the appeal 61 days later on September 3, 2003. Therefore, the appeal was untimely filed.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected as untimely filed