



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

*LD*

[Redacted]

**Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

FILE:

[Redacted]

Office: NATIONAL BENEFITS CENTER

**FEB 10 2006**  
Date:

IN RE:

Applicant:

[Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[Redacted]

**PUBLIC COPY**

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

*Robert P. Wiemann*

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, Missouri Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director concluded the applicant had not established that he had applied for class membership in any of the requisite legalization class-action lawsuits prior to October 1, 2000 and, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, counsel asserts that the applicant is eligible for permanent resident status under the LIFE Act because he had submitted a prior application for temporary residence under section 245A (legalization) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) to the Service (now Citizenship and Immigration Services, or CIS). Counsel submits documentation in support of the appeal.

An applicant for permanent resident status under the LIFE Act must establish that before October 1, 2000, he or she filed a written claim with the Attorney General for class membership in any of the following legalization class-action lawsuits: *Catholic Social Services, Inc. v. Meese*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993) (CSSS), *League of United Latin American Citizens v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993) (LULAC), or *Zambrano v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Zambrano*, 509 U.S. 918 (1993) (*Zambrano*). See 8 C.F.R. § 245a.10.

The regulations provide an illustrative list of documents that an applicant may submit to establish that he or she filed a written claim for class membership before October 1, 2000. Those regulations also permit the submission of "[a]ny other relevant document(s)." See 8 C.F.R. § 245a.14.

The applicant neither claimed nor documented that he had applied for class membership in any of the requisite legalization lawsuits in his LIFE Act application. Rather, the applicant provided documentation relating to the prior adjudication of the separate application for temporary resident status under section 245A of the INA. Such documentation was also provided in response to the notice of intent to deny. The record reflects that the applicant timely filed his application for temporary resident status under section 245A of the INA on May 4, 1988. The legalization application was subsequently denied on July 27, 1989, and the applicant appealed this denial. The applicant's appeal was dismissed by the AAO on May 19, 2000. Section 1104 of the LIFE Act contains no provision allowing for the reopening and reconsideration of the matter, as the original application for temporary resident status under section 245A of the INA had been filed by the applicant in a timely manner.

In response to the notice of intent to deny, and now on appeal counsel contends that the applicant is eligible for permanent resident status under the LIFE Act because he had submitted a prior legalization application under section 245A of the INA. However, the fact that an alien filed a timely legalization application does not establish eligibility to adjust to permanent residence under the LIFE Act. The legalization class-action lawsuits discussed above relate to aliens who claim they did not file applications in the 1987-1988 period because they were improperly dissuaded by CIS from doing so. Counsel provides no explanation as to why the applicant would have sought membership in the legalization class-action lawsuits as he had not been improperly dissuaded by CIS and did file a timely application on May 4, 1988.

The record reflects all appropriate indices and files were checked and it was determined that the applicant had not applied for class membership in a timely manner. Given his failure to document that he timely filed a written claim for class membership, the applicant is ineligible for permanent residence under section 1104 of the LIFE Act.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.