

PUBLIC COPY

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Citizenship and Immigration Services

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
CIS, AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20536



FILE:



Office: National Benefits Center

Date:

JAN 22 2004

IN RE: Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), *amended by* Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

Attached is the decision rendered on your appeal. The file has been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann" with "for" written below it.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was initially denied by the Director, Missouri Service Center. The matter was subsequently reopened and denied again by the Director, National Benefits Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

In both decisions, the directors concluded the applicant had not established that she had applied for class membership in any of the requisite legalization class-action lawsuits prior to October 1, 2000 and, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal from the initial denial, the applicant indicates that she previously submitted a separate application for temporary resident status (legalization) under section 245A of the Immigration and Naturalization Act (INA) to the Service (now Citizenship and Immigration Services, or CIS). The applicant declares that she filed the legalization application on April 28, 1988, "under LULAC." The applicant includes documentation relating to the adjudication of this separate legalization application with her appeal. The record shows that the applicant was afforded the opportunity to submit evidence to supplement the appeal after the application had been denied for the second time. However, as of the date of this decision, the applicant has failed to submit any additional material. Therefore, the record shall be considered complete.

An applicant for permanent resident status under the LIFE Act must establish that before October 1, 2000, he or she filed a written claim with the Attorney General for class membership in any of the following legalization class-action lawsuits: *Catholic Social Services, Inc. v. Meese*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993) (*CSS*), *League of United Latin American Citizens v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993) (*LULAC*), or *Zambrano v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Zambrano*, 509 U.S. 918 (1993) (*Zambrano*). See 8 C.F.R. § 245a.10.

The regulations provide an illustrative list of documents that an applicant may submit to establish that he or she filed a written claim for class membership before October 1, 2000. Those regulations also permit the submission of "[a]ny other relevant document(s)." See 8 C.F.R. § 245a.14.

The applicant failed to document that she filed a written claim for class membership. With her application for permanent residence

under the LIFE Act, in response to the notice of intent to deny, and now on appeal, the applicant provides documentation relating to the prior adjudication of a separate application she had submitted for temporary resident status under section 245A of the INA. The applicant specifically acknowledges that she timely filed her application for temporary resident status under section 245A of the INA on April 28, 1988. The legalization application was subsequently denied on October 18, 1989. The applicant failed to file an appeal to the denial of her application. Section 1104 of the LIFE Act contains no provision allowing for the reopening and reconsideration of the matter, as the original application for temporary resident status under section 245A of the INA had been filed by the applicant in a timely manner. The legalization class-action lawsuits mentioned above relate to aliens who claim they did not file applications in the 1987-1988 period because they were improperly dissuaded by CIS.

The record reflects that all appropriate indices and files were checked and it was determined that the applicant had not applied for class membership. Given her failure to credibly document that she filed a written claim for **class membership**, the applicant is ineligible for permanent residence under section 1104 of the LIFE Act.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.

