

INS  
HEADQUARTERS  
FOIA



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

'04 JUL 22 A6:26

Handwritten mark resembling a stylized '2' or '12'.



FILE: [Redacted] Office: NATIONAL BENEFITS CENTER Date:

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

PETITION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

  
Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

PUBLIC COPY

Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, National Benefits Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director concluded the applicant had not established that she had applied for class membership in any of the requisite legalization class-action lawsuits prior to October 1, 2000 and, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant reaffirms her claim to have filed a timely application for class membership.

An applicant for permanent resident status under the LIFE Act must establish that before October 1, 2000, he or she filed a written claim with the Attorney General for class membership in any of the following legalization class-action lawsuits: *Catholic Social Services, Inc. v. Meese*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993) ("CSS"), *League of United Latin American Citizens v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993) ("LULAC"), or *Zambrano v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Zambrano*, 509 U.S. 918 (1993) ("Zambrano"). See 8 C.F.R. § 245a.10.

The applicant failed to submit any documentation addressing this requirement when the application was filed. On rebuttal to a notice of intent to deny, the applicant provided a photocopy of a letter dated September 23, 2000, supposedly sent to former Attorney General Reno, requesting that the applicant be registered in the *CSS v. Meese* case. Pursuant to 8 CFR § 245a.10, a *written claim for class membership* means a filing, in writing, in one of the forms listed in § 245a.14 which provides the Attorney General with notice that the applicant meets the class definition in the cases of *CSS*. The letter does not constitute a "form" and does not equate to the actual forms listed in 8 CFR § 245a.14, although that regulation also states other "relevant documents" may be considered. However, the very brief letter does not even begin to imply that the applicant could qualify for *CSS* class membership because it does not provide any relevant information upon which a determination could be made.

Moreover, the applicant does not explain *why*, if this letter were truly in her possession the entire time, she did not submit it with his LIFE application, as applicants were advised to provide evidence *with* their applications. In addition, it must be noted that the applicant is one of many aliens who did not furnish such identically-worded letters in the same typeface (virtually all dated from September 14th to September 25th, 2000) with their LIFE applications, and yet provided them only upon receiving letters of intent to deny. It is further noted that all of these aliens had their LIFE applications prepared by [REDACTED] of Professional Tax Service, Santa Maria, California. In addition, none of these aliens have provided any evidence, such as postal receipts, which might help demonstrate that the letters were actually sent to the Attorney General. Given the importance of the letters, it would be reasonable to conclude that at least some of the aliens would have sent them via certified or registered mail. These factors raise grave questions about the authenticity of the letter that the applicant purportedly sent to the Attorney General.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that she is in possession of additional documentation including a completed Form I-687 Application for Status as a Temporary Resident under Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) and a Form for Determination of Class Membership which were not provided at the time

she filed her LIFE application because they had previously been stored by the applicant in Mexico and were therefore unavailable. However, this explanation is less than credible in that the applicant was nevertheless able to accompany her LIFE application with *other* supporting documentation without indicating that she possessed *additional* documentation pertinent to her claim to class membership. Moreover, the applicant has not as yet submitted this documentation on her own initiative. The decision of the AAO is not obliged to take into account evidence which the applicant claims to have but has not yet submitted. The Service is not required to solicit further evidence from an applicant once a decision has been rendered.

Doubt cast on any aspect of the evidence may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence. It is incumbent upon an applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth, in fact, lies, will not suffice. See *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582 (BIA 1988).

The director indicated that the photocopy of the letter does not establish that the original was ever received by the office of the Attorney General or by Citizenship and Immigration Services. The director also pointed out that a review of all relevant records failed to disclose any indication of the applicant having made a written claim for class membership.

Given her failure to establish that she filed a written claim for class membership, the applicant is ineligible for permanent residence under section 1104 of the LIFE Act.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.