

**PUBLIC COPY**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Mass. Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**



LA

FILE:



Office: Baltimore

Date: JUN 09 2006

IN RE:

Applicant:



PETITION:

Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the Baltimore office. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, Baltimore, Maryland, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The district director concluded the applicant had failed to demonstrate continuous residence in the United States in an unlawful status from prior to January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988, or continuous physical presence from November 6, 1986 to May 4, 1988, and therefore, denied the application.

In response to the district director's decision, the applicant's attorney submits a Form I-290B Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). At item 2 on the form, counsel checks box 3, indicating that he would be sending a brief and/or evidence to the AAO within 30 days. However, as of this date, no further documentation or statement has been submitted by the applicant into the record of proceedings. At item 3 on the form, in which an individual is requested to specify the *reasons* for filing the appeal, counsel asserts, "the decision is contrary to the evidence," without providing his reasons for arriving at this conclusion. In addition, the applicant appends a brief statement, in which she requests that her employment authorization be extended.

An applicant for permanent resident status under the LIFE Act must establish that before October 1, 2000, he or she filed a written claim with the Attorney General for class membership in any of the following legalization class-action lawsuits: *Catholic Social Services, Inc. v. Meese*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993)(CSS), *League of United Latin American Citizens v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993)(LULAC), or *Zambrano v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Zambrano*, 509 U.S. 918 (1993)(Zambrano). See 8 C.F.R. § 245a.10.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. The applicant and her attorney have failed to address the reasons stated for denial and have not provided any additional evidence on appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.