



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

12

[REDACTED]

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: Dallas, Texas Date: JUN 17 2004

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the Dallas District Office. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, Dallas, Texas. It is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be dismissed.

An applicant for permanent resident status under section 1104 of the LIFE Act must establish, among other things, that that he or she entered the United States before January 1, 1982 and resided in this country continuously in an unlawful status from before January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988. See section 1104(c)(2)(B)(i) of the LIFE Act and 8 C.F.R. § 245a.11(b).

The district director concluded that the applicant failed to prove that he was present in the United States from January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988.

On appeal the applicant recounts the procedural history of the case, states that he has responded to all prior requests for additional documentation, and asserts that the evidence establishes his continuous residence in the United States during the above time period. The applicant requests that his case be reconsidered.

As specified in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. The applicant in this appeal has not addressed the reasons for the denial of his application, which were explained in the director's decision. Nor has he provided any additional evidence for the AAO to consider. Thus, the appeal is without explanation or support and must be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.