

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

[Handwritten mark]

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20536



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

[Redacted]

FILE: [Redacted]

Office: NATIONAL BENEFITS CENTER

MAR 25 2004
Date:

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

[Handwritten signature]

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, Missouri Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director concluded the applicant had not established that she had applied for class membership in any of the requisite legalization class-action lawsuits prior to October 1, 2000 and, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant states that she first came to this country in 1993, and that she has worked and lived here since this date. The applicant indicates that she derives beneficiary status under the LIFE Act because her husband had been in the United States since 1988.

An applicant for permanent resident status under the LIFE Act must establish that before October 1, 2000, he or she filed a written claim with the Attorney General for class membership in any of the following legalization class-action lawsuits: *Catholic Social Services, Inc. v. Meese*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993) (CSS), *League of United Latin American Citizens v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993) (LULAC), or *Zambrano v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Zambrano*, 509 U.S. 918 (1993) (*Zambrano*). See 8 C.F.R. § 245a.10. In the alternative, an applicant may demonstrate that his or her spouse or parent filed a written claim for class membership before October 1, 2000. However, the applicant must establish that the family relationship existed at the time the spouse or parent initially attempted to apply for temporary residence (legalization) in the period of May 5, 1987 to May 4, 1988. See 8 C.F.R. § 245a.10.

The regulations provide an illustrative list of documents that an applicant may submit to establish that he or she filed a written claim for class membership before October 1, 2000. Those regulations also permit the submission of "[a]ny other relevant document(s)." See 8 C.F.R. § 245a.14.

The applicant neither claimed nor documented that she filed a written claim for class membership. While the applicant indicates that she derives beneficiary status under the LIFE Act through her husband, the record contains no evidence to demonstrate that her husband filed a written claim for class membership. A review of CIS records reveals that the applicant's husband filed a LIFE Act application on July 17, 2002 and that this application was denied on October 31, 2002. The record further shows that he filed an appeal to this denial, and the appeal was dismissed by the AAO on December 18, 2003. Therefore, the applicant cannot derive status through her husband as he has been found to be ineligible for permanent resident under section 1104 of the LIFE Act.

In addition, the applicant's husband timely filed an application for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker (SAW) under section 210 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) on May 16, 1988, and this application was subsequently denied on October 8, 1991. An application for SAW status does not constitute an application for class membership in any of the legalization class-action lawsuits. Furthermore, section 1104 of the LIFE Act contains no provision allowing for the reopening and reconsideration of a timely filed and previously denied application for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker under section 210 of the INA.

Given her failure to document that either she or her husband filed a written claim for class membership, the applicant is ineligible for permanent residence under section 1104 of the LIFE Act.

It is noted that an applicant for permanent resident status under section 1104 of the LIFE Act must establish entry into the United States before January 1, 1982 and continuous residence in the United States in an unlawful status since such date and through May 4, 1988. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.11(b). The applicant specifically acknowledges that she began residing in the United States in 1993 on appeal. The applicant offered no

evidence of any earlier residence in this country. Accordingly, the applicant is ineligible for permanent residence on this basis as well.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.