

PUBLIC COPY



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



MAY 25 2004

FILE:


Office: NATIONAL BENEFITS CENTER

Date:

IN RE: Application

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, Missouri Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The director concluded the applicant had not established that she had applied for class membership in any of the requisite legalization class-action lawsuits prior to October 1, 2000 and, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, counsel for the applicant asserts that the applicant had initially attempted to file an application for legalization under Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) during the requisite one-year filing period from May 5, 1987 to May 4, 1988, but her application was rejected and she was discouraged from applying. Counsel further asserts that the applicant subsequently filed a claim for class membership with the New York City office of the Immigration and Naturalization Service or the Service, where she was informed by an unspecified Service officer that she would be receiving an appointment notice, which never arrived.

An affected party filing from within the United States has 30 days from the date of an adverse decision to file an appeal. An appeal received after the 30 day period has tolled will not be accepted. The 30 day period for submitting an appeal begins 3 days after the Notice of Decision is mailed. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.20(b) (1).

If an applicant's last known address of record was outside the United States, and the Notice of Decision was mailed to that foreign address, the appeal must be received by the Service within 60 calendar days after service of the Notice of Decision. An appeal received after the 60 day period has tolled will not be accepted. The 60-day period for submitting an appeal begins 3 days after the Notice of Decision is mailed. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.20(b) (2).

The record reflects that the director sent his decision of May 28, 2003 to the applicant at her most current address of record. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) did not receive the appeal until 44 days later on July 11, 2003. Therefore, the appeal was untimely filed.

The burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The applicant has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected as untimely filed.