

identifying information is prohibited to
prevent disclosure of unwaranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



LA MAY 27 2004

FILE:  Office: NATIONAL BENEFITS CENTER Date:

IN RE: Applicant: 

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was initially denied by the Director, Missouri Service Center. The matter was subsequently reopened and denied again by the Director, National Benefits Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

Both directors concluded the applicant had not established that he had applied for class membership in any of the requisite legalization class-action lawsuits prior to October 1, 2000 and, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal from the initial denial, the applicant reaffirmed his eligibility for permanent resident status under the LIFE Act as one who has applied for class membership in a legalization class-action lawsuit. The applicant indicated that he would be submitting additional evidence in support of his appeal. However, the record shows that the applicant has subsequently failed to submit any material to supplement his appeal as of the date of this decision. Therefore, the record shall be considered complete.

An applicant for permanent resident status under the LIFE Act must establish that before October 1, 2000, he or she filed a written claim with the Attorney General for class membership in any of the following legalization class-action lawsuits: [REDACTED] vacated sub nom. [REDACTED] sub nom. *Reno v. Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993) (*CSS*); [REDACTED] (1993) (*LULAC*), or *Zambrano v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Immigration* 509 U.S. 918 (1993) (*Zambrano*). See 8 C.F.R. § 245a.10.

The regulations provide an illustrative list of documents that an applicant may submit to establish that he or she filed a written claim for class membership before [REDACTED]. Those regulations also permit the submission of "[a]ny other relevant document(s)." See 8 C.F.R. § 245a.14.

The applicant failed to submit any documentation addressing this requirement when the application was filed. In response to a notice of intent to deny, the applicant included a photocopy of an earnings statement reflecting hours worked and wages paid to him for agricultural work performed from [REDACTED] to September 23, 2000, for Smith Packing Inc., in [REDACTED]. However, the photocopied earnings statement does not establish that the applicant filed a written claim for class membership in any of the requisite legalization class action lawsuits.

The applicant also provided a photocopy of a letter dated [REDACTED] supposedly sent to former Attorney General Reno, requesting that the applicant be registered in the [REDACTED] case. Pursuant to 8 CFR § 245a.10, a written claim for class membership means a filing, in writing, in one of the forms listed in § 245a.14 which provides the Attorney General with notice that the applicant meets the class definition in the cases of *CSS*, *LULAC*, or *Zambrano*. The letter does not constitute a "form" and does not equate to the actual forms listed in 8 CFR § 245a.14, although that regulation also states other "relevant documents" may be considered. However, the very brief letter does not even begin to imply that the applicant could qualify for *CSS* class membership because it does not provide any relevant information upon which a determination could be made.

Moreover, the applicant does not explain why, if this letter were truly in his possession the entire time, he did not submit it with his LIFE application, as applicants were advised to provide evidence with their applications. In addition, it must be noted that the applicant is one of many aliens who did not furnish such identically-worded letters in the same typeface (virtually all dated from [REDACTED] their LIFE applications, and yet provided them only upon receiving letters of intent to deny. It is further noted that all of these aliens had their LIFE applications prepared by M.E. Real of Professional Tax Service, Santa Maria, California. In addition, none of these aliens have provided any evidence, such as postal receipts, which might help demonstrate that the letters were actually sent to the Attorney General. Given the importance of the letters, it would be reasonable to conclude that at least some of the aliens would have sent

them via certified or registered mail. These factors raise grave questions about the authenticity of the letter that the applicant purportedly sent to the Attorney General.

Doubt cast on any aspect of the evidence may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence. It is incumbent upon an applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth, in fact, lies, will not suffice. *See Matter of Ho*, 19 I. & N.

The applicant has failed to submit documentation which credibly establishes his having filed a timely written claim for class membership in one of the aforementioned legalization class-action lawsuits. Accordingly, the applicant is ineligible for permanent resident status under section 1104 of the LIFE Act.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.