

L2

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

[Redacted]

FILE: [Redacted] Office: Phoenix

Date:

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

NOV 10 2004

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), *amended by* LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, Phoenix, Arizona, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The district director determined that the applicant had failed to establish that he was admissible under section 1140(c)(2)(D)(ii) of the LIFE Act, because he had failed to provide requested court documents. The district director further determined that the applicant failed to establish that he satisfied the "basic citizenship skills" required under section 1104(c)(2)(E) of the LIFE Act. Therefore, the district director concluded the applicant was ineligible for permanent resident status under the LIFE Act and denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that he was given a citizenship test as if he were applying for naturalization rather than permanent resident status. The applicant contends that he is currently attending classes to satisfy the basic citizenship skills requirement of the LIFE Act. The applicant provides court documents related to a variety of traffic offenses he had been charged with in 1996, 1998, 1999, and 2001.

An alien must establish that he is admissible to the United States as an immigrant, except as otherwise provided under section 245A(d)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). Section 1140(c)(2)(D)(i) of the LIFE ACT. An alien who has been convicted of a felony or three or more misdemeanors in the United States is inadmissible and, therefore, ineligible for permanent resident status under section 1140(c)(2)(D)(ii) of the LIFE Act.

"Felony" means a crime committed in the United States punishable by imprisonment for a term of more than one year, regardless of the term such alien actually served, if any, except when the offense is defined by the state as a misdemeanor, and the sentence actually imposed is one year or less, regardless of the term such alien actually served. Under this exception, for purposes of 8 C.F.R. Part 245a, the crime shall be treated as a misdemeanor. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.1(p).

"Misdemeanor" means a crime committed in the United States, either (1) punishable by imprisonment for a term of one year or less, regardless of the term such alien actually served, if any, or (2) a crime treated as a misdemeanor under 8 C.F.R. § 245a.1(p). For purposes of this definition, any crime punishable by imprisonment for a maximum term of five days or less shall not be considered a misdemeanor. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.1(o).

A review of the record reveals that the applicant was arrested for the felony offense of driving under the influence on September 2, 1991, and that he subsequently pleaded guilty to an undetermined drunk driving offense. In an attempt to determine whether the applicant's criminal record rendered him inadmissible, the district director requested that the applicant provide court documents relating to disposition of the charge cited above. While the applicant submits court documents related to a variety of traffic and criminal offenses he had been charged with in 1996, 1998, 1999, and 2001 on appeal, the applicant has failed to provide any court documents related to the arrest and guilty plea discussed above.

An alien applying for adjustment of status has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she has resided in the United States for the requisite periods, is admissible to the United States under the provisions of section 212(a) of the INA and is otherwise eligible for adjustment of status under 8 C.F.R. § 245a. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.12(e)(5). The applicant has failed to meet this burden because he has not provided necessary evidence to establish that he is admissible under section 1140(c)(2)(D)(ii) of the LIFE Act. Accordingly, the applicant is ineligible for adjustment to permanent resident status under section 1104 of the LIFE Act.

Under section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i) of the LIFE Act ("Basic Citizenship Skills"), an applicant for permanent resident status must demonstrate that he or she:

- (I) meets the requirements of section 312(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1423(a)) (relating to minimal understanding of ordinary English and a knowledge and understanding of the history and government of the United States); or
- (II) is satisfactorily pursuing a course of study (recognized by the Attorney General) to achieve such an understanding of English and such a knowledge and understanding of the history and government of the United States.

Under section 1104(c)(2)(E)(ii) of the LIFE Act, the Attorney General may waive all or part of the above requirements for aliens who are at least 65 years of age or developmentally disabled.

The applicant, who is neither 65 years old nor developmentally disabled, does not qualify for either of the exceptions in section 1104(c)(2)(E)(ii) of the LIFE Act. Nor does he satisfy the “basic citizenship skills” requirement of section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(I) of the LIFE Act because he does not meet the requirements of section 312(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). An applicant can demonstrate that he or she meets the requirements of section 312(a) by “[s]peaking and understanding English during the course of the interview for permanent resident status” and answering questions based on the subject matter of approved citizenship training materials, or “[b]y passing a standardized section 312 test . . . by the Legalization Assistance Board with the Educational Testing Service (ETS) or the California State Department of Education with the Comprehensive Adult Student Assessment System (CASAS).” 8 C.F.R. § 245a.3(b)(4)(iii)(A)(1) and (2).

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(b), the applicant was interviewed twice in connection with his LIFE application, on December 1, 2002 and again on August 20, 2003. On both occasions, the applicant failed to demonstrate a minimal understanding of English and minimal knowledge of United States history and government. Furthermore, the applicant has not provided evidence of having passed a standardized citizenship test, as permitted by 8 C.F.R. § 312.3(a)(1).

The remaining question, therefore, is whether the applicant satisfies the alternative “basic citizenship skills” requirement of section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(II) of the LIFE Act. The “citizenship skills” requirement of section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(II) is defined by regulation in 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(2) and (3). As specified therein, an applicant for LIFE Legalization must establish that:

He or she has a high school diploma or general education development diploma (GED) from a school in the United States. . . . 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(2), or

He or she has attended, or is attending, a state recognized, accredited learning institution in the United States, and that institution certifies such attendance. The course of study at such learning institution must be for a period of one academic year (or the equivalent thereof according to the standards of the learning institution) and the curriculum must include at least 40 hours of instruction in English and United States history and government. . . . 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(3).

The applicant in this case does not have a high school diploma or a GED from a United States school, and therefore does not satisfy the regulatory requirement of 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(2).

For the reasons discussed above, the applicant does not satisfy the “basic citizenship skills” requirement of section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(II) of the LIFE Act because he has failed to demonstrate that he “is satisfactorily pursuing a course of study (recognized by the Attorney General) to achieve such an understanding of English and such a knowledge and understanding of the history and government of the United States.”

As previously discussed, the applicant failed to meet the “basic citizenship skills” requirement of section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(I) of the LIFE Act because at his two interviews he did not demonstrate a minimal understanding of English and a minimal knowledge of United States history and government.

The applicant asserts that he was given a citizenship test as if he were applying for naturalization rather than permanent resident status on appeal. However, the test administered to the applicant on December 1, 2002 and again on August 20, 2003, which the applicant failed on both occasions, is the same type of test administered to any LIFE Act applicant attempting to demonstrate a minimal understanding of English and minimal knowledge of United States history and government during their interview. In addition, the test administered to the applicant is that type of test described in and mandated by section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(I) of the LIFE Act by which applicants may demonstrate the required basic citizenship skills. And while the applicant contends that he is currently attending classes to satisfy the basic citizenship skills requirement of the LIFE Act, he has failed to submit any evidence to corroborate this claim.

Therefore, the applicant does not satisfy either alternative of the “basic citizenship skills” requirement set forth in section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i) of the LIFE Act. Accordingly, the applicant is ineligible for adjustment to permanent resident status under section 1104 of the LIFE Act on this basis as well.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.