

L2

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

[Redacted]

FILE: [Redacted] Office: Dallas

Date: NOV 10 2014

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), *amended by* LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, Dallas, Texas, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant had failed to establish that he satisfied the “basic citizenship skills” required under section 1104(c)(2)(E) of the LIFE Act.

On appeal, the applicant indicates that he has completed a forty-hour English (ESL) class. The applicant submits documentation in support of his appeal.

Under section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i) of the LIFE Act (“Basic Citizenship Skills”), an applicant for permanent resident status must demonstrate that he or she:

- (I) meets the requirements of section 312(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1423(a)) (relating to minimal understanding of ordinary English and a knowledge and understanding of the history and government of the United States); or
- (II) is satisfactorily pursuing a course of study (recognized by the Attorney General) to achieve such an understanding of English and such a knowledge and understanding of the history and government of the United States.

Under section 1104(c)(2)(E)(ii) of the LIFE Act, the Attorney General may waive all or part of the above requirements for aliens who are at least 65 years of age or developmentally disabled.

The applicant, who is neither 65 years old nor developmentally disabled, does not qualify for either of the exceptions in section 1104(c)(2)(E)(ii) of the LIFE Act. Nor does he satisfy the “basic citizenship skills” requirement of section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(I) of the LIFE Act because he does not meet the requirements of section 312(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). An applicant can demonstrate that he meets the requirements of section 312(a) by “[s]peaking and understanding English during the course of the interview for permanent resident status” and answering questions based on the subject matter of approved citizenship training materials, or “[b]y passing a standardized section 312 test . . . by the Legalization Assistance Board with the Educational Testing Service (ETS) or the California State Department of Education with the Comprehensive Adult Student Assessment System (CASAS).” 8 C.F.R. § 245a.3(b)(4)(iii)(A)(1) and (2).

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(b), the applicant was interviewed twice in connection with his LIFE application, on May 9, 2002 and again on November 12, 2002. On both occasions, the applicant failed to demonstrate a minimal understanding of English and minimal knowledge of United States history and government. Furthermore, the applicant has not provided evidence of having passed a standardized citizenship test, as permitted by 8 C.F.R. § 312.3(a)(1).

The remaining question, therefore, is whether the applicant satisfies the alternative “basic citizenship skills” requirement of section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(II) of the LIFE Act. The “citizenship skills” requirement of section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(II) is defined by regulation in 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(2) and (3). As specified therein, an applicant for LIFE Legalization must establish that:

He or she has a high school diploma or general education development diploma (GED) from a school in the United States. . . . 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(2), or

He or she has attended, or is attending, a state recognized, accredited learning institution in the United States, and that institution certifies such attendance. The course of study at such learning institution must be for a period of one academic year (or the equivalent thereof according to the standards of the learning institution) and the curriculum must include at least 40 hours of instruction in English and United States history and government. . . . 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(3).

The applicant in this case does not have a high school diploma or a GED from a United States school, and therefore does not satisfy the regulatory requirement of 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(2).

On appeal, the applicant submitted a certificate of completion for a forty-hour ESL class signed by [REDACTED] Program Director, Adult Education at San Miguel Lutheran Church in Fort Worth, Texas. However, the certificate does not provide any confirmation that San Miguel Lutheran Church is “a state recognized, accredited learning institution,” as required by 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(3). In addition, the certificate does not specify that this course of study lasted for a one-year period as required by the regulation. Moreover, the certificate does not provide any indication that classes provided by San Miguel Lutheran Church have a course content that includes any instruction on United States history and government. Thus, the applicant has failed to establish the qualifications of San Miguel Lutheran Church or that the course of study provided by this institution contains the requisite “citizenship skills” component specified in 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(3).

For the reasons discussed above, the applicant does not satisfy the “basic citizenship skills” requirement of section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(II) of the LIFE Act because he has failed to demonstrate that he “is satisfactorily pursuing a course of study (recognized by the Attorney General) to achieve such an understanding of English and such a knowledge and understanding of the history and government of the United States.”

As previously discussed, the applicant failed to meet the “basic citizenship skills” requirement of section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(I) of the LIFE Act because at his two interviews he did not demonstrate a minimal understanding of English and a minimal knowledge of United States history and government.

Therefore, the applicant does not satisfy either alternative of the “basic citizenship skills” requirement set forth in section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i) of the LIFE Act. Accordingly, the applicant is ineligible for adjustment to permanent resident status under section 1104 of the LIFE Act.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.

COEXM:GRAFTERY:272-1212
A93129223LIFE:10/28/04:AAOGER01