

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Los Angeles Office

22

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

[Redacted]

FILE: [Redacted] Office: Los Angeles Date: OCT 2 2014

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

PETITION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, Los Angeles, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The director concluded the applicant had not established that he had applied for class membership in any of the requisite legalization class-action lawsuits prior to October 1, 2000 and, therefore, denied the application. This decision was based on the district director's determination that the applicant had exceeded the forty-five (45) day limit for single absences from the United States during this period, as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 245a.15(c)(1).

On appeal, the applicant's representative submits asserts that the evidence provided by the applicant clearly meets her burden of proof of having continuously resided in the U.S. since prior to January 1, 1982, and that the denial of her application is therefore unfair. In addition, the applicant's representative submits a separate statement in which she asserts that the district director's determination regarding the applicant's absence from the U.S. was based on a misinterpretation of the applicant's testimony during her adjustment interview.

An affected party filing from within the United States has 30 days from the date of an adverse decision to file an appeal. An appeal received after the 30 day period has tolled will not be accepted. The 30 day period for submitting an appeal begins 3 days after the Notice of Decision is mailed. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.20(b) (1).

If an applicant's last known address of record was outside the United States, and the Notice of Decision was mailed to that foreign address, the appeal must be received by the Service within 60 calendar days after service of the Notice of Decision. An appeal received after the 60 day period has tolled will not be accepted. The 60-day period for submitting an appeal begins 3 days after the Notice of Decision is mailed. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.20(b) (2).

The record reflects that the district director sent the Notice of Denial of January 26, 2004 to the applicant and to the applicant's representative at their respective addresses of record. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) did not received the appeal until 36 days later on March 2, 2004. The appeal was, therefore, untimely filed.

The burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The applicant has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected as untimely filed.