



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

Division of Regional Offices

PUBLIC COPY



L2

FILE: [Redacted]

Office: LOS ANGELES

Date: APR 19 2000

IN RE: Applicant: [Redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Handwritten signature of Robert P. Wiemann in black ink.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, Los Angeles, California, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be sustained.

The district director denied the application because the applicant had not demonstrated that he had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status from before January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988.

On appeal, the applicant submits copies of documents previously provided in an effort to establish his residence in the United States from prior to January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988.

It is noted that the director, in denying the application, did not address the evidence furnished initially, and in response to the Notice of Intent to Deny, and did not set forth the specific reasons for the denial pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3. As such, the documentation throughout the application process will be considered on appeal.

An applicant for permanent resident status must establish entry into the United States before January 1, 1982 and continuous residence in the United States in an unlawful status since such date and through May 4, 1988. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.11(b).

An applicant for permanent resident status under section 1104 of the LIFE Act has the burden to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she has resided in the United States for the requisite periods, is admissible to the United States and is otherwise eligible for adjustment of status under this section. The inference to be drawn from the documentation provided shall depend on the extent of the documentation, its credibility and amenability to verification. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.12(e).

When something is to be established by a preponderance of the evidence it is sufficient that the proof establish that it is probably true. *See Matter of E-- M--*, 20 I. & N. Dec. 77 (Comm. 1989).

Although the regulations provide an illustrative list of contemporaneous documents that an applicant may submit, the list also permits the submission of affidavits and any other relevant document. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 245a.2(d)(3)(vi)(L).

In an attempt to establish continuous unlawful residence since before January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988, the applicant provided the following evidence throughout the application process:

- Several earnings statements issued in 1984 and 1985.
- Rent receipts dated July 21, 1984, August 18, 1984, September 2, 1984, and October 27, 1984.
- Affidavits from [REDACTED] an aunt who indicated the applicant lived with her in Pacoima, California from June 1980 through February 1982.
- An affidavit from [REDACTED] who indicated that she has known the applicant since 1983.
- An affidavit of employment from [REDACTED] who indicated that the applicant was in his employ as a gardener from June 1985 through December 1990.

- An affidavit from [REDACTED] who indicated that he has known the applicant since 1986.
- An affidavit from [REDACTED] who indicated that she has known the applicant since 1982. [REDACTED] based her knowledge on having been good friends with the applicant since that time.
- An affidavit from [REDACTED] an aunt who attested to the applicant's residence in the United States since January 1980.
- Affidavits from [REDACTED] who attested to the applicant's residence in Los Angeles County since January 1980.

The employment letter from [REDACTED] failed to provide a telephone number or address and, therefore, is not amenable to verification by the Citizenship and Immigration Services. The affidavits from [REDACTED] [REDACTED] have little evidentiary weight or probative value because the applicant did not enter the United States until June 1980.

Nevertheless, the applicant submitted other evidence, including contemporaneous documents, which tends to corroborate his claim of residence in the United States during the requisite period. The district director has not established that the information in this evidence was inconsistent with the claims made on the application, or that it was false information. As stated on *Matter of E--M--*, *supra*, when something is to be established by a preponderance of evidence, the applicant only has to establish that the proof is probably true. That decision also points out that, under the preponderance of evidence standard, an application may be granted even though some doubt remains regarding the evidence. The documents that have been furnished may be accorded substantial evidentiary weight and are sufficient to meet the applicant's burden of proof of residence in the United States for the requisite period.

The documentation provided by the applicant supports by a preponderance of the evidence that the applicant satisfies the statutory and regulatory criteria of entry into the United States before January 1, 1982, as well as continuous unlawful residence in the country during the ensuing time frame of January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988, as required for eligibility for legalization under section 1104(c)(2)(B)(i) of the LIFE Act.

Accordingly, the applicant's appeal will be sustained. The district director shall continue the adjudication of the application for permanent resident status.

ORDER: The appeal is sustained.