

Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

PUBLIC COPY



L2

FILE:



Office: Denver

Date: FEB 16 2005

IN RE:

Applicant:



PETITION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Interim District Director, Denver, Colorado. It is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be dismissed.

The district director concluded that the applicant failed to establish that he satisfied the “basic citizenship skills” required under section 1104(c)(2)(E) of the LIFE Act.

On appeal, the applicant requests additional time in order that he may endeavor to enroll in English and history courses.

Under section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i) of the LIFE Act (“Basic Citizenship Skills”), an applicant for permanent resident status must demonstrate that he or she:

- (I) meets the requirements of section 312(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1423(a)) (relating to minimal understanding of ordinary English and a knowledge and understanding of the history and government of the United States); or
- (II) is satisfactorily pursuing a course of study (recognized by the Attorney General) to achieve such an understanding of English and such a knowledge and understanding of the history and government of the United States.

Under section 1104(c)(2)(E)(ii) of the LIFE Act, the Attorney General may waive all or part of the above requirements for aliens who are at least 65 years of age or developmentally disabled.

The applicant, who is neither 65 years old nor developmentally disabled, does not qualify for either of the exceptions in section 1104(c)(2)(E)(ii) of the LIFE Act. Nor does she satisfy the “basic citizenship skills” requirement of section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(I) of the LIFE Act because she does not meet the requirements of section 312(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA). An applicant can demonstrate that he meets the requirements of section 312(a) by “[s]peaking and understanding English during the course of the interview for permanent resident status” and answering questions based on the subject matter of approved citizenship training materials, or “[b]y passing a standardized section 312 test . . . by the Legalization Assistance Board with the Educational Testing Service (ETS) or the California State Department of Education with the Comprehensive Adult Student Assessment System (CASAS).” 8 C.F.R. § 245a.3(b)(4)(iii)(A)(1) and (2).

In his decision, the district director indicated that the applicant was interviewed twice in conjunction with his LIFE application – on April 22, 2003 and again on October 28, 2003 – and both times was unable to demonstrate the required proficiency in the English language *or* a knowledge and understanding of the history and government of the United States.

The remaining question, therefore, is whether the applicant satisfies the alternative “basic citizenship skills” requirement of section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(II) of the LIFE Act. The “citizenship skills” requirement of section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(II) is further defined by regulation in 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(2) and (3). As specified therein, an applicant for LIFE Legalization must establish that:

He or she has a high school diploma or general education development diploma (GED) from a school in the United States. . . . 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(2), or.

He or she has attended, or is attending, a state recognized, accredited learning institution in the United States, and that institution certifies such attendance. The course of study at such learning institution must be for a period of one academic year (or the equivalent thereof according to the standards of the learning institution) and the curriculum must include at least 40 hours of instruction in English and United States history and government. . . . 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(3).

The applicant in this case does not have a high school diploma or a GED from a U.S. school, and therefore does not satisfy the regulatory requirement of 8 C.F.R. § 245a.17(2).

In response to the notice of intent to deny, the applicant submitted a letter dated January 6, 2004 from Alissa Nashold, Adult Education Instructor at Cross Community Coalition/Family Resource Center, Denver, Colorado, indicating that the applicant had just commenced English classes and that he would be attending citizenship courses as well.

However, on February 3, 2004, the Denver district office of Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) determined that Cross Community Coalition was *not* an accredited learning institution recognized by the state of Colorado. Nor has the applicant provided any indication regarding the actual duration of the Cross Community Coalition course of study.

For the reasons discussed above, the applicant does not satisfy the “basic citizenship skills” requirement of section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(II) of the LIFE Act because he has failed to demonstrate that he “is satisfactorily pursuing a course of study (recognized by the Attorney General) to achieve such an understanding of English and such a knowledge and understanding of the history and government of the United States.”

As previously discussed, the applicant failed to meet the “basic citizenship skills” requirement of section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i)(I) of the LIFE Act because at his two interviews on April 22, 2003 and October 28, 2003, respectively, he failed to demonstrate a minimal knowledge of U.S. history and government.

Therefore, the applicant does not satisfy either alternative of the “basic citizenship skills” requirement set forth in section 1104(c)(2)(E)(i) of the LIFE Act. Accordingly, the applicant is ineligible for adjustment to permanent resident status under section 1104 of the LIFE Act.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.