

PUBLIC COPY



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

identifying data deleted to
prevent identification
invasion of personal privacy

[Redacted]

La MAR 02 2005

FILE:

[Redacted]

Office: Dallas

Date:

IN RE:

Applicant:

[Redacted]

PETITION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, Dallas, Texas, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The district director denied the application because the applicant had not demonstrated that he had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status since before January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988.

In response to the Notice of Decision, counsel for the applicant submits a Form I-290B Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). At *item 2*, counsel checks *box 4*, indicating that he required an additional 90 days in which to submit a brief and/or evidence to the AAO. As of this date, however, no *new* documentation or statement from the applicant has been submitted into the record of proceedings. At *item 3* on the form, in which an individual is requested to specify the *reasons* for filing the appeal, the applicant states, "mistakes on data," without elaborating or specifying how it relates to his appeal.

The applicant appears to be represented; however, the individual identified as representing the applicant is not authorized to do so under 8 C.F.R. § 292.1 or § 292.2. Therefore, the notice of decision will be furnished only to the applicant.

An applicant for permanent resident status under the LIFE Act must establish that before October 1, 2000, he or she filed a written claim with the Attorney General for class membership in any of the following legalization class-action lawsuits: *Catholic Social Services, Inc. v. Meese*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993), *League of United Latin American Citizens v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993), or *Zambrano v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Zambrano*, 509 U.S. 918 (1993). See 8 C.F.R. § 245a.10.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. The applicant has failed to address the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence on appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.