

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

L2

PUBLIC COPY



FILE:



Office: DALLAS

Date: AUG 02 2008

MSC 02 225 63477

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, Dallas, Texas, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The district director denied the application because the applicant had not demonstrated that he had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status since before January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988. *See* section 1104(2)(c)(B) of the LIFE Act, 8 C.F.R. § 245a.11(b).

On appeal, the applicant states that the decision was not based on "substantial evidence."¹ The applicant stated on the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Unit, that he would be submitting a brief and/or additional evidence within 30 days of filing the appeal. As of the date of this decision, however, more than 24 months after the appeal was filed, no further documentation has been received by the AAO. Therefore, the record will be considered complete as presently constituted.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The applicant has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding; therefore, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.

¹ It is noted that an attorney who is currently on the list of suspended and expelled practitioners represents the applicant. (*See* <http://usdoj.gov/eoir/profcond/chart.htm>, accessed on July 14, 2006.) Therefore, CIS may not recognize counsel in this proceeding.