

Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

PUBLIC COPY

L2



FILE:

MSC 03 109 60369

Office: NATIONAL BENEFITS CENTER

Date: JUL 11 2006

IN RE:

Applicant: 

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Σ Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, National Benefits Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director concluded that the applicant had not established that, prior to October 1, 2000, he had applied for class membership in any of the requisite legalization class-action lawsuits. Therefore, the director denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant submitted only his own statement which indicates that he attempted to reopen the legalization application that he filed in 1988 and that he has been in the United States since 1986. The applicant failed to address the director's claim that he had not established that he had applied for class membership. The applicant failed to state any basis for his appeal.

An applicant for permanent resident status under the LIFE Act must establish that, prior to October 1, 2000, he or she filed a written claim with the Attorney General for class membership in any of the following legalization class-action lawsuits: *Catholic Social Services, Inc. v. Meese*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993)(CSS), *League of United Latin American Citizens v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Reno v. Catholic Social Services, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 43 (1993)(LULAC), or *Zambrano v. INS*, vacated sub nom. *Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Zambrano*, 509 U.S. 918 (1993)(Zambrano). See 8 C.F.R. § 245a.10.

Any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal or is patently frivolous will be summarily dismissed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv). The applicant has failed to address the reasons stated for denial or to provide any basis for his appeal. Therefore, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.