

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

42

PUBLIC COPY

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

Office: HOUSTON, TX

Date: MAR 30 2006

IN RE:

Applicant:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the District Director, Houston, TX. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The district director denied the application because the applicant was convicted of the offense of securing execution of a document by deception, a felony in the state of Texas.

An eligible applicant for permanent residence may adjust status to legal permanent residence status under LIFE Legalization if he or she has not been convicted of any felony or of three or more misdemeanors committed in the United States. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.11(d)(1).

On appeal, the applicant submits a brief stating that she was found guilty for welfare fraud in an attempt to receive welfare for her two U.S. citizen sons. She states that she knows pleading guilty to this crime was a mistake. She states that she did not have enough money to hire an attorney so she listened to the advice of her public defender. The applicant then asks for the Service to reconsider her case. No other evidence or information was submitted, and the appeal does not dispute the grounds upon which the applicant's application for adjustment of status was denied.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(v) states in pertinent part that:

(v) Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The AAO finds that the applicant's appeal fails to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the district director's decision. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.