



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

identifying data deleted  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

L2



FILE: MSC 02 204 64900

Office: HOUSTON

Date: NOV 09 2008

IN RE: Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, Houston, Texas, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The district director concluded that the applicant's testimony was at variance with the information initially provided on his Form I-687 application, thereby casting credibility issues on his claim to have continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status from before January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988. The director also concluded that the applicant had been convicted of three misdemeanors in the United States. As such, the director denied the application.

On appeal, counsel put forth a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, and requested 60 days in which to submit a brief and/or additional evidence after the receipt of his FOIA request. On December 29, 2005, counsel's request was complied with and mailed to his address of record. Ten months later, however, no additional correspondence has been presented by counsel.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. Counsel has failed to address the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence on appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.