

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

L2

PUBLIC COPY

[REDACTED]

FILE: [REDACTED] MSC 01 296 60687

Office: HOUSTON

Date: OCT 26 2006

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, Houston, Texas, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The district director denied the application because the applicant had not demonstrated that he had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status from before January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988. Section 1104(c)(2)(B) of the LIFE Act; 8 C.F.R. § 245a.11(b).

On appeal, the applicant stated that there was miscommunication and “interpretation” of the questions asked during his interview. The applicant indicated on the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal to the Administrative Appeals Unit, that a brief and/or additional evidence would be submitted within 30 days of filing the appeal. As of the date of this decision, however, more than 30 months after the appeal was filed, no further documentation has been received by the AAO. However, as the appeal was untimely filed, this issue is moot.

An affected party filing from within the United States has 30 days from the date of an adverse decision to file an appeal. An appeal received after the 30-day period has tolled will not be accepted. The 30-day period for submitting an appeal begins three days after the Notice of Decision is mailed. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.20(b)(1).

The record reflects that the director sent his decision of February 17, 2004 to the applicant at his address of record in the United States. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) received the appeal 36 days later on March 24, 2004. Therefore, the appeal was untimely filed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected as untimely filed.