


identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



62

FILE: 
MSC 02 064 65027

Office: NATIONAL BENEFITS CENTER

Date: **DEC 03 2007**

IN RE: Applicant: 

PETITION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, National Benefits Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

An applicant for permanent resident status under section 1104 of the LIFE Act has the burden to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she has resided in the United States for the requisite periods, is admissible to the United States and is otherwise eligible for adjustment of status under this section. The inference to be drawn from the documentation provided shall depend on the extent of the documentation, its credibility and amenability to verification. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.12(e).

The district director found that the evidence submitted in support of the application was insufficient to establish that she had filed a written claim for class membership in one of the following three class action lawsuits: *Catholic Social Services, Inc. v. Meese (CSS)*, *League of United Latin American Citizens (LULAC) v. INS*, or *Zambrano v. INS*. The application was denied on November 19, 2004.¹

On appeal, the applicant submits Form I-290B on which she states, "This is to appeal the decision taken by the service to deny my application on the 19th of November 2004. The fact to the matter is I was seen by the legalization reception desk on 12/11/1992 a copy of which is attach and a money order for \$110.00 to cover the cost of this motion."

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. The applicant's general statement on the Form I-290B, without specifically identifying any errors on the part of the director, is simply insufficient to overcome the well-founded and logical conclusions the director reached based on the evidence submitted by the applicant.

The applicant has failed to address the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence on appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.

¹ Upon review of the record of proceeding, it is noted that this application was initially denied on August 29, 2002 after the applicant's response to a notice of intent to deny, issued on January 22, 2002, was deemed insufficient to overcome the basis for the district director's objections. The applicant appealed, and the application was subsequently reopened on service motion on November 16, 2004.