



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



L2

FILE:



Office: DENVER

Date: NOV 09 2007

MSC 03 245 63822

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if your case was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert F. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the District Director, Denver, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

An applicant for permanent resident status under section 1104 of the LIFE Act has the burden to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that he or she has resided in the United States for the requisite periods, is admissible to the United States and is otherwise eligible for adjustment of status under this section. The inference to be drawn from the documentation provided shall depend on the extent of the documentation, its credibility and amenability to verification. 8 C.F.R. § 245a.12(e).

The applicant submitted insufficient evidence to credibly document his continuous residence in an unlawful status and his continuous presence in the United States during the relevant period. Specifically, the applicant submitted a crewman landing permit dated December 12, 1986, but no additional documentation pertaining to the relevant period. Consequently, a request for evidence was issued on March 8, 2004, which asked the applicant to submit evidence that he had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status since before January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988. The applicant failed to submit a timely response to the request. On September 25, 2004, the district director issued a Notice of Intent to Deny (NOID) the application, and afforded the applicant 30 days in which to submit credible evidence to show that he had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status since before January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988. The applicant submitted a letter dated October 8, 2004 in response to the NOID, but provided no evidence or documentation pertaining to the requisite period. Consequently, the district director denied the application on April 19, 2005.

On appeal, the applicant submits Form I-290B on which he states:

I apologize for entering this country w/o proper documentation. I offended United States Immigration law. I fell short providing evidence required by law. I humbly acknowledges [sic] what [I] have done. Now, for the last time I'm begging for mercy and forgiveness before God and before United States Immigration for what I've done wrong and re-consider my application that I might see my family back home again that I've been missing for so many years. Despite all of the hardships that I have experienced after all those years, I have kept my faith in God, and have kept my faith in this country that one day I could be granted for benefits. I didn't have negative intention but trying to obtain my status legal and be a law abiding person.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. The applicant's general statement on the Form I-290B, without specifically identifying any errors on the part of the director, is simply insufficient to overcome the well-founded and logical conclusions the director reached based on the evidence submitted by the applicant.

The applicant has failed to address the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence on appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.